Slurm and/or/vs Kubernetes

Tim Wickberg





Tim Wickberg

tim@schedmd.com Chief Technology Officer SchedMD LLC M.S. Computer Science from RPI

 \bullet

- Thesis on Slurm integration with burst buffers
 - Design underpins Slurm's current burst buffer plugin architecture
- "Recovering" HPC Systems Administrator
 - Previously at GW and RPI, responsible for Slurm configuration and management
 - Alongside 100 other hats
- Now responsible for development and future direction for the open-source Slurm workload manager



Slurm and SchedMD

Most people know Slurm

Allocates access

to resources to users for some duration of time for a workload

Provides framework

for starting, executing, and monitoring work on the set of allocated nodes

Arbitrates contention

for resources by managing a queue of pending work



Slurm on TOP500





But what is SchedMD?

Maintainers and supporters of Slurm

- Only organization providing Level-3 support
- Training
- Consultation
- Custom Development







SchedMD + Dell

- Dell SKUs are available for:
 - SchedMD Support
 - Slurm Training
 - Consultation
- Slurm underpins the Dell APEX HPC offerings
- Slurm is at the heart of the Dell Omnia stack
- Contact your Dell sales rep or jacob@schedmd.com for more details



Slurm and/or/vs Kubernetes

Background

- This talk is meant to discuss the interplay between traditional HPC workload managers -Slurm - and cloud native orchestrators - Kubernetes
- "and/or/vs"... why not just pick a single conjunction?
 - Well... it's not that simple
 - Depending on your site, users, and systems, either Slurm or Kubernetes, or Slurm and Kubernetes combined, may be appropriate stacks



Warning!

- This is meant as a high-level, somewhat simplified, view of two complex products
 - Slurm and Kubernetes are both open-source
 - There are patches, plugins, and configurations that look radically different than what I've described
 - Both systems continue to evolve well beyond their original designs



Perspectives - Kubernetes

- Kubernetes was built to manage long-running processes
 - Designed to **orchestrate** multiple microservices
 - Usually in support of one or more web services
 - Core architecture permits scaling cluster size according to external demand
 - And managing availability and redundancy for the constituent services
- Cloud-native systems assume "infinite" resources are available
 - And the workload is **finite**
 - Albeit, with fluctuations in instantaneous demand
- Prioritization not a central aspect of cloud orchestration
 - All workload is expected to run concurrently by default



Perspectives - Kubernetes

- Kubernetes approaches scheduling at a different level node centric
 - Scheduling API granularity is fixed at the node level
 - Extensions such as NVIDIA's DRA allows for GPU management
 - No model for CPU core affinity
 - Can't centrally ensure a pod won't share a core with other workloads
 - Scheduling semantics reflecting cloud workload demands, rather than HPC
 - E.g, Affinity and Anti-Affinity scheduling policies
 - Anti-Affinity is used to ensure pod instances don't share a node
 - Critical for architecting redundant systems
 - But doesn't translate into traditional HPC batch scheduling
- Services are containerized by default
- System use is generally programmatic, through tools like Terraform



Perspectives - HPC Batch Scheduling

- HPC systems assume system size is **fixed**
 - And the workload is **infinite**
 - Queue prioritization is thus critical
- "Slurm is a policy engine" quote stolen from a colleague
- Slurm manages a number of intertwined HPC system management tasks
 - Job queuing and prioritization scheduling
 - Job accounting
 - Control user access to compute resources (cgroups, pam_slurm_adopt)
 - Enable large-scale concurrent job launch (MPI, PMIx, nss_slurm, sbcast)
- Jobs assume access to a usable, fully-featured, default Linux environment
 - Containerization including Slurm's built-in container support is optional
- Jobs are usually ad-hoc scripts, submitted through the command line
 - Newer features such as Slurm's RESTful API can support more programmatic interaction, but are not yet as widely adopted



Current Kubernetes Batch Support

- Kubernetes has limited support for batch workflows
 - Modeled as either individual "pods", or as "jobs"
 - Most workflows use "pods" due to issues around the "jobs" model
- Prioritization models are limited
 - FIFO is most common



Current Kubernetes Batch Support

- MPI-style workload support is weak
 - Concurrent pod scheduling is not guaranteed by default Kubernetes components
 - Default behavior for HPC batch schedulers
- "MPI Operator" is the most commonly used component to ensure pods launch roughly simultaneously
 - But does not scale struggles to launch above more than 80 ranks
 - Citation <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/CANOPIE-HPC56864.2022.00011</u>



Convergence of HPC and Cloud-Native

- So... why am I talking about this?
- There's an opportunity to bridge the gap between HPC and Cloud-Native workloads
 - Find a way to bring familiar commands, tooling, prioritization models into newer architectures
 - Clusters will continue to evolve users are interested in access to new tools and technologies
 - Both ecosystems stand to benefit from each other
 - Kubernetes from increased throughput, different approaches to job scheduling and prioritization
 - Slurm from newer cloud native technologies and tools, and increased focus on flexibility in support of new user workflows



Converged Environments

Models of Converged Environments

- Four high-level models for a converged Slurm + Kubernetes environment:
 - Over
 - Distant
 - Adjacent
 - \circ Under
- These are from Slurm's perspective... flip the Over/Under terms for Kubernetes' viewpoint









Over

- Slurm manages all cluster resources
- Kubernetes clusters are created ephemerally within Slurm batch jobs
- Kubernetes control plane unavailable until job launches...
 - \circ $\,$ $\,$ Or needs to be hosted outside of the traditional cluster $\,$
- Not especially useful beyond test / development environments IMNSHO



Distant





Distant

- Run both Slurm and Kubernetes within the cluster environment
- Potential to enlist an additional management tool to shift nodes between the two sides
- Neither Slurm nor Kubernetes are aware of the current resources and demand for the other environment
 - Management tool needs to handle assignment of resources between environments
- Approach taken today by tools such as Dell's Omnia toolkit



Adjacent





Adjacent

- Overlap both control planes
- Install Slurm Kubernetes scheduler plugin
 - Have Slurm prioritize and schedule both Slurm and Kubernetes workloads
- Kubernetes jobs managed by the kubelet
 - Full access to Kubernetes capabilities sidecars, operators
- Slurm jobs run through Slurm
 - Manage high-throughput workloads and large-scale MPI workloads
 - Provides traditional CLI interfaces that HPC users expect
 - Alongside RESTful API



Adjacent

- Current known limitations
 - Kubernetes scheduling is still at node-level granularity
 - DRA driver provides some support for GPU management
 - No further granularity available currently
 - But changes are difficult to push upstream
 - Some Kubernetes scheduling primitives e.g., affinity/anti-affinity are difficult to model in Slurm's internals



Under





Under

- Run Slurm cluster(s) within a Kubernetes environment
- Kubernetes-native cloud providers are already emerging
 - And all mainstream cloud environments have a managed Kubernetes offering
- Long-lived "login" nodes (Kubernetes pods) provide for traditional user experience
 - While allowing for increased user-to-user isolation
- Auto-scaling best implemented through a Kubernetes Operator can be used to shift resources to/from Slurm's control
 - The dynamic nodes feature in Slurm 22.05+ makes this simple
 - Auto-scaling here can also be a bit more nuanced than the existing Slurm power-saving-based cloud bursting model



Under

- Pros
 - Traditional experience for Slurm users
 - Allows for higher throughput, and full MPI support for those workloads
- Cons
 - Kubernetes workloads run outside of Slurm's view
 - Prioritization between Slurm and Kubernetes workloads difficult
 - All limitations of Kubernetes scheduling apply



Current Directions

Current Options

- Dell's Omnia can deploy both Slurm and Kubernetes today
 - Corresponds to the distant model, as previously mentioned



Work In Progress

- SchedMD is working with a partner to develop a stack that addresses both the under and adjacent models actually a hybrid of both
 - Kubernetes used to manage and deploy the Slurm cluster on bare metal
 - Kubernetes Operator deployed to monitor Slurm cluster state through the REST API
 - Scale nodes (pods) up-and-down automatically by adding/removing dynamic nodes from the cluster
 - Kubernetes scheduling plugin also allows for Kubernetes workloads to be tracked and managed through that same Slurm cluster



Work In Progress

- So... where is it? What is it called?
 - ... unfortunately it's not quite ready to go public yet, and I need to defer to our partner on the timing
- Our partner will be presenting on their work at SLUG'23 next week
 - And is working towards open-sourcing their efforts ASAP
 - We expect to have public details available at KubeCon and SC'23 this November



Questions?

Tim Wickberg

tim@schedmd.com Chief Technology Officer SchedMD LLC

• Thank You

- Slides will be posted to the Slurm publication archive today:
 - https://slurm.schedmd.com/publications.html
- Upcoming events:
 - Slurm User Group Meeting (SLUG'23) at BYU, September 12-13
 - SC'23, November 13-16, Booth 463, as well as at the Slurm Community Birds-of-a-Feather
- Sales:
 - Talk to your Dell account rep, or contact jacob@schedmd.com for more information



