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User Defined Images/Containers in HPC

• Data Intensive computing often require complex software stacks
• Efficiently supporting “big software” in HPC environments offers many challenges
• Shifter
  – NERSC R&D effort, in collaboration with Cray, to support User-defined, user-provided Application images
  – “Docker-like” functionality on the Cray and HPC Linux clusters
  – Efficient job-start & Native application performance
Glossary

• **Image**: operating environment for a software stack including “operating system” files, e.g., /etc, /usr, ...

• **User Defined Image**: an image that a user creates for their needs in particular

• **Linux Container**: an instance of an application image and its running processes

• **Docker**: a software system for creating images and instantiating them in containers. Enables distribution of images through well-defined web APIs
  - Repository/repo – online store of related Docker images
  - Layer – collection of files
  - Image – ordered collection of layers denoting a particular version within repo
  - Tag – a label or pointer to a version (e.g., “latest” points to most recent version; “15.04” points to the relevant image)

• **Shifter**: software for securely enabling Docker (and other images) to run in a limited form of Linux Container in HPC and cluster environments, specifically for HPC use-cases
Convergence of Disruptive Technology

- Increasing Role of Data

- Converging HPC and Data Platforms

- New Models of Application Development and Delivery
DOE Facilities Require Exascale Computing and Data

- Petabyte data sets today, many growing exponentially
- Processing requirements grow super-linearly
- Need to move entire DOE workload to Exascale
## Popular features of a data intensive system and supporting them on Cori

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Intensive Workload Need</th>
<th>Cori Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Disk</td>
<td>NVRAM ‘burst buffer’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large memory nodes</td>
<td>128 GB/node on Haswell; Option to purchase fat (1TB) login node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massive serial jobs</td>
<td>Shared-Node/Serial queue on cori via SLURM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Complex workflows                           | **User Defined Images**  
CM mode  
Large Capacity of interactive resources |
| Communicate with databases from compute nodes| Advanced Compute Gateway Node |
| Stream Data from observational facilities    | Advanced Compute Gateway Node |
| Easy to customize environment                | **User Defined Images** |
| Policy Flexibility                           | Improvements coming with Cori: Rolling upgrades, CCM, MAMU, above COEs would also contribute |
User-Defined Images

• User-Defined Images (UDI): A software framework which enables users to accompany applications with portable, customized OS environments
  – e.g., include ubuntu base system with Application built for ubuntu (or debian, centos, etc)

• A UDI framework would:
  – Enable the HPC Platforms to run more applications
  – Increase flexibility for users
  – Facilitate reproducible results
  – Provide rich, portable environments without bloating the base system
Use Cases

• Large high energy physics collaborations (e.g., ATLAS and STAR) requiring validated software stacks
  – Some collaborations will not accept results from non-validated stacks
  – Simultaneously satisfying compatibility constraints for multiple projects is difficult
  – Solution: create images with certified stacks

• Bioinformatics and cosmology applications with many third-party dependencies
  – Installing and maintaining these dependencies is difficult
  – Solution: create images with dependencies

• Seamless transition from desktop to supercomputer
  – Users desire consistent environments
  – Solution: create an image and transfer it among machines
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Value Proposition for UDI for Cori

• **Expanded application support**
  – Many applications currently relegated to commodity clusters could run on the Cray through UDI
  – This will help position Cori as a viable platform for data-intensive

• **Easier application porting**
  – The need for applications to be “ported” to Cori will be reduced
  – More applications can work “out of the box”

• **Better Reproducibility**
  – Easier to re-instantiate an older environment for reproducing results
UDI Design Goals for Cori

• User independence: Require no administrator assistance to launch an application inside an image
• Shared resource availability (e.g., PFS/DVS mounts and network interfaces)
• Leverages or integrates with public image repos (i.e. DockerHub)
• Seamless user experience
• Robust and secure implementation
• Fast job startup time
• “native” application execution performance
Linux Containers vs. Virtual Machines

Containers are isolated, but share kernel and, where appropriate, bins/libraries.

Containers provide close to native performance.

A “container” delivers an application with all the libraries, environment, and dependencies needed to run.

Source: IBM Research Report (RC25482)
• Process Container: Uses Linux kernel features (cgroups and namespaces) to create semi-isolated “containers”

• Image Management: Version control style image management front-end and image building interface

• Ecosystem: Can push/pull images from a community-oriented image hub (i.e. DockerHub)
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FROM python:2.7

## setup optimized numpy
ADD mkl.tar /
ADD numpy-1.9.2.tar.gz /usr/src/
ADD site.cfg /usr/src/numpy-1.9.2/
RUN cd /usr/src/numpy-1.9.2 && 
   chmod -R a+rX /opt/intel && 
   chown -R root:root /opt/intel && 
   python setup.py build && 
   python setup.py install && 
   cd / && rm -rf /usr/src/numpy-1.9.2 && 
   printf "\n" >> /etc/ld.so.conf && 
   ldconfig

ADD scipy-0.16.0b2.tar.gz /usr/src/
ADD site.cfg /usr/src/scipy-0.16.0b2/
RUN cd /usr/src/scipy-0.16.0b2 && 
   apt-get update && 
   apt-get dist-upgrade -y && 
   apt-get install gfortran -y && 
   python setup.py build && 
   python setup.py install && 
   cd / && rm -rf /usr/src/scipy-0.16.0b2

## install mpi4py
ADD mpi4py-1.3.1.tar.gz /usr/src/
ADD optrcra_alva.tar /
ADD mpi.cfg /usr/src/mpi4py-1.3.1/
RUN cd /usr/src && 
   cd mpi4py-1.3.1 && 
   chmod -R a+rX /opt/cray && 
   chown -R root:root /opt/cray && 
   python setup.py build && 
   python setup.py install && 
   cd / && rm -rf /usr/src/mpi4py-1.3.1 && 
   printf "\n" >> /etc/ld.so.conf && 
   ldconfig
User Defined Images on the Cray Platforms
Docker on the Cray?

- Docker assumes local disk
  - aufs, btrfs demand shared-memory access to local disk

Approach
- Leverage Docker image and integrate with DockerHub
- Adopt alternate approach for instantiating the environment on the compute node (i.e. don’t use the Docker daemon)
- Plus: Easier to integrate and support
- Minus: Can’t easily leverage other parts of the Docker ecosystem (i.e. orchestration)
Image Location/Format Options

Image Location Options
• GPFS – No local clients, so overhead of DVS
• Lustre – Local clients, large scale
• Burst Buffer – Not widely available yet
• Local Disk – Not available on Crays

Image Format Options
• Unpacked Trees
  – Simple to implement
  – Metadata performance depends on metadata performance of the underlying system (i.e. Lustre or GPFS)
• Loopback File Systems
  – Moderate complexity
  – Keeps file system operations local

Considerations
• Scalable
• Manageable
• Metadata performance
• Bandwidth Consumption
Shifter

• **Supports**
  – Docker Images
  – CHOS Images
  – Can support other image types (e.g., qcow2, vmware, etc)

• **Basic Idea**
  – Convert native image format to common format (ext4, squashfs)
  – Construct chroot tree on compute nodes using common format image
  – Modify image within container to meet site security/policy needs
  – Directly use linux VFS namespaces to support multiple shifter containers on same compute node

[Diagram showing the relationships between User Interface, Application and OS Virtualization, and Resource Management]
## Shifter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Command line interface</th>
<th>Central gateway service</th>
<th>udiRoot</th>
<th>Workload Manager Integration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instantiate and control shifter containers interactively</td>
<td>Manage images</td>
<td>Sets up container on compute node</td>
<td>Pull image at job submission time if it doesn’t already exist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List images</td>
<td>Transfer images to computational resource</td>
<td>CCM-like implementation to support internode communication</td>
<td>Implement user interface for user-specified volume mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pull image from DockerHub / private registry</td>
<td>Convert images several sources to common format</td>
<td>Launch udiRoot on all compute nodes at job start</td>
<td>WLM provides resource management (e.g., cgroups)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

- **User Interface**
- **Application and OS Virtualization**
- **Resource Management**

---

**NERSC**

---

**U.S. Department of Energy**

---

**Office of Science**

---

**Berkeley Lab**
ALPS integration shown, Native Slurm uses plugin capability to execute features without MOM node
Shifter Delivers Performance – Pynamic

Python Deployment Options

- Shifter
- Local Memory
- DataWarp
- Tuned DVS+GPFS
- Lustre
- DVS+GPFS+ReadOnly
- DVS+GPFS

Time (seconds) to complete Pynamic Python Benchmark
(300 nodes, 24 cores per node)

Balanced memory vs. network Consumption
Benchmark local in-memory, some support libraries over network

Balanced memory vs. network Consumption
Benchmark local in-memory, some support libraries over network
How is Shifter similar to Docker?

• Sets up user-defined image under user control
• Allows volume remapping
  – mount /a/b/c on /b/a/c in container
• Containers can be “run”
  – Environment variables, working directory, entrypoint scripts can be defined and run
• Can instantiate multiple containers on same node
How does Shifter differ from Docker?

- **User runs as the user in the container – not root**
- **Image modified at container construction time:**
  - Modifies /etc, /var, /opt
    - Replaces /etc/passwd, /etc/group other files for site/security needs
    - Adds /var/hostsfile to identify other nodes in the calculation (like $PBS_NODEFILE)
    - Injects some support software in /opt/udimage
  - Adds mount points for parallel filesystems
    - Your homedir can stay the same inside and outside of the container
    - Site configurable
- **Image readonly on the Computational Platform**
  - To modify your image, push an update using Docker
- **Shifter only uses mount namespaces, not network or process namespaces**
  - Allows your application to leverage the HSN and more easily integrate with the system
- **Shifter does not use cgroups directly**
  - Allows the site workload manager (e.g., SLURM, Torque) to manage resources
- **Shifter uses individual compressed filesystem files to store images, not the Docker graph**
  - Uses more disk space, but delivers high performance at scale
- **Shifter integrates with your Workload Manager**
  - Can instantiate container on thousands of nodes
  - Run parallel MPI jobs
- **Specialized sshd run within container for exclusive-node for non-native-MPI parallel jobs**
  - PBS_NODEFILE equivalent provided within container (/var/hostsfile)
  - Similar to Cray CCM functionality
  - Acts in place of CCM if shifter “image” is pointed to /dsl VFS tree
Shifter / SLURM Integration

• A custom SPANK plugin adds these options to salloc, sbatch, srun:
  – --image=<image descriptor>
  – --imagevolume=<volume remapping descriptor>
  – --ccm (uses shifter to emulate Cray CCM)
  – --autoshift (automatically run batch script in shifter image)

• slurm_spank_job_prolog
  – Sets up shifter environment on all exclusive compute nodes in allocation (should use PrologFlags=Alloc)

• slurm_spank_task_init_privileged
  – Instantiate shifter container for slurmstepd for trusted images when ccm or autoshift is defined

• slurm_spank_job_epilog
  – Tear down shifter environment on all exclusive compute nodes in allocation

• Other requirements:
  – Should ensure that all needed cgroup paths, slurm state directories, and munge sockets are mounted in shifter containers (siteFs variable in udiRoot.conf)
Where are we now?

• An early version of Shifter is deployed on Edison. Early users are already reporting successes!
• Shifter is fully integrated with batch system, users can load a container on many nodes at job-start time. Full access to parallel FS and High Speed Interconnect (MPI)
• Shifter and NERSC were recently featured in HPC Wire and other media. Several other HPC sites have expressed interest.
• Our early users:

  - Light Sources (testing)
  - LHC – Nuclear Physics (testing)
  - Structural Biology (early production)
  - Cosmology (testing)
  - nuleotid.es Genome Assembly (proof of concept)
Where are we going?

- Cori phase 1 will debut with a new fully *open-source* version of Shifter
  - Users can run multiple containers in a single job (i.e., dynamically select and run Shifter containers)
  - Tighter integration and simple user interface
- Cray has indicated interest in making a supported product out of Shifter
- Ultimate Code Portability – Don’t rewrite your code, shift the data center!
  - Support for volume mappings
    - /scratch2/scratchdirs/username/code1/date2/a/b/c ➔ /input
    - /scratch2/scratchdirs/username/code1/date2/out/1 ➔ /output
  - Support for entrypoint scripts
    - Autorun: /usr/bin/myContainerScript.sh
  - Entrypoints + volume mappings = No site-specific batch script or porting delays ➔ Increased scientific productivity
Thank you! (And we are hiring!)

HPC Systems Engineers
HPC Consultants
Storage analysts
Postdocs
www.nersc.gov
National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center
Shifter Security Model

- User *only* accesses the container as their uid, never root or contextual root
- Generated site `/etc/passwd, /etc/group (filtered)` is placed in container
  - no need for shifter containers to interoperate with LDAP or concerns about image PAM
- Optional sshd run within image is statically linked, only accessible to that container’s user
- All user-provided data (paths within image, environment variables, command line arguments) are filtered
- Executables that run with root privileges are implemented in C with only glibc dependencies
- *All* filesystems in container are remounted no-setuid
- *All* processes run with privilege carefully manage environment to prevent accidental/intentional manipulation
Using Shifter to deliver cvmfs for LHC

- **Vast majority of LHC computing depends on cvmfs**
  - Network file system that relies on http to deliver full software environment to compute node
    - Needs fuse, root perms, and local disk so implementation on Cray systems has been difficult
    - Most groups extract needed portions of cvmfs and extract on compute node RAM disk – this breaks automated pipelines

- **Use shifter to deliver full ALICE, ATLAS, CMS cvmfs repositories to Edison**
  - ALICE image is 892 GB with 10,116,157 inodes, load up time was negligible
  - Ran simulations of p-Pb collisions at an energy of 5 TeV and reconstructed the result
  - Actual physics (used to evaluate the EMCal trigger), that worked out of the box

- **NERSC is making shifter images available for all cvmfs repositories**
Shifter

- Deployed containers are read-only to the user

Docker

- Running containers are mutable