Slurm Priority, Fairshare and Fair Tree

Shawn Hoopes shawn@schedmd.com

Agenda

- Job Prioritization
- Fairshare
- Fair Tree



- Job Prioritization
- Fairshare
- Fair Tree

Why Prioritize?

• Because **NOT** all clusters have 200,000 nodes

Why Prioritize?

• Because **NOT** all clusters have 200,000 nodes



Why Prioritize?

• Because **NOT** all clusters have 200,000 nodes

• **NOT** on the Top 500 list



General Boarding

Priority





Slurm Job Prioritization Discussion

- FIFO by default
- Priority Plugins define Slurm's behavior:
 - Priority/basic Provides rudimentary FIFO scheduling (default)
 - Priority/multifactor Sets priority based on:
 - Job Age
 - Fairshare
 - Job Size
 - Queue/Partition
 - QOS

- TRES
- Nice
- Assoc (19.05)
- Site (19.05)

Job Prioritization Discussion

- FIFO by default
- Priority Plugins define Slurm's behavior:
 - Priority/basic Provides rudimentary FIFO scheduling (default)
 - Priority/multifactor Sets priority based on:
 - Job Age
 - Fairshare
 - Job Size
 - Queue/Partition
 - QOS

- TRES
- Nice
- Assoc (19.05)
- Site (19.05)

Job Prioritization Discussion

• Define the plugin in slurm.conf:

PriorityType=priority/basic # Default

or

PriorityType=priority/multifactor



Node1	Busy	Busy	Busy
Node2			
Node3			
Node4			
Node5			

Cluster



Node1	Busy	Busy	Busy
Node2			
Node3			
Node4			
Node5			

Cluster



Node1	Busy	Busy	Busy
Node2			
Node3			
Node4			
Node5			

Cluster

Priority/Multifactor:

QOS

JobSize

FS

...



3. Schedule SchedulerParameters=default queue depth=4

Priority/Multifactor:

QOS

JobSize

FS

...

until(DefaultQueueDepth) {
 pop 1 job from list
 try to schedule...
 call select plugin

Node1	Busy	Busy	Busy
Node2			
Node3			
Node4			
Node5			

Cluster

Busy

Job 3

State:PD Part: 1

Job 6

State:PD Part: 1

Job 1

State:PD Part: 1

Job 3 State:PD

Part: 2

Cluster

Busy

Busy

pop 1 job from list try to schedule...

call select plugin



Job Prioritization Factors

Age - the length of time a job has been waiting in the queue, eligible to be scheduled

Fairshare - the difference between the portion of the computing resource that has been promised and the amount of resources that has been consumed

Job size - the number of nodes or CPUs a job is allocated

Partition - a factor associated with each node partition

QOS - A factor associated with each Quality Of Service

Job Prioritization Factors - Cont'd

TRES - Each TRES Type has it's own factor for a job which represents the number of requested/allocated TRES Type in a given partition
Nice - Users can adjust the priority of their own jobs by setting the nice value on their jobs
Association - Each association can be assigned an integer priority
Site - Can be set either using scontrol, through a job_submit or site_factor plugin

Job Prioritization Value Calculation

Job_priority =

```
(PriorityWeightAge) * (age_factor) +
(PriorityWeightFairshare) * (fair-share_factor) +
(PriorityWeightJobSize) * (job_size_factor) +
(PriorityWeightPartition) * (partition_factor) +
(PriorityWeightQOS) * (QOS_factor) +
SUM(TRES_weight_cpu * TRES_factor_cpu,
TRES_weight_<type> * TRES_factor_<type>,
...)
```

Notes on Prioritization

- All factors in the formula are floating point numbers 0.0-1.0
- Weights are unsigned 32-bit integers
- Slurm priority is normalized*

*Can be turned off for some priority calculations in 19.05.x code



- Length of time a job has been sitting in the queue, eligible to run
- Age factor for dependencies does not change while it waits on the depended job to complete
- At PriorityMaxAge, the age factor max's out at 1.0. The default is 7 days, meaning after 7 days, all jobs get the same age-based priority

www.schedmd.com SLUG Sep 17-18, 2019



Fairshare Factor

- Fairshare calculation in Slurm requires the Slurm Accounting Database to provide the assigned shares and the consumed computing resources
- Takes into consideration computing resources allocated and computing resources already consumed
- The fairshare factor prioritizes queued jobs based on under/over utilization

Fairshare Factor (Cont'd)

- Fairshare factor is a floating point number between 0.0 and 1.0
- You can configure TRESBillingWeights on a partition to account for consumed resources other than just CPUs
- For example, the following jobs on a partition configured with TRESBillingWeights=CPU=1.0,Mem=0.25G and 16CPU, 64GB nodes would be billed as:

	CPUs		Mem GB				
Job1:	(1 *1.0)	+	(60*0.25)	=	(1 + 15)	=	16
Job2:	(16*1.0)	+	(1 *0.25)	=	(16+.25)	=	16.25
Job3:	(16*1.0)	+	(60*0.25)	=	(16+ 15)	=	31

Job Size Factor

- Correlates to the number of nodes or CPUs requested
- Can favor either larger or smaller jobs, depending on PriorityFavorSmall=yes/no
- A job that requests all the nodes gets a job size factor of 1.0
- If PriorityFavorSmall=yes, a single node job will get a job size factor of 1.0



Job Size Factor - Cont'd

 Can alter scheduler job size factor behavior by setting: PriorityFlags=SMALL_RELATIVE_TO_TIME :



 A full-system job with a time limit of 1 will receive a job size factor of 1.0, while a tiny job with a large time limit will receive a job size factor closer to 0.0 Copyright 2019 SchedMD LLC

> www.schedmd.com SLUG Sep 17-18, 2019

Partition Factor (priority job factor on a partition)

- Each partition (queue) can be assigned an integer priority
- The larger the number, the greater the job priority will be for jobs that request to run in this partition



• The priority is then **normalized** to the highest priority of all the partitions to become the partition factor



Quality of Service (QOS) Factor

- Each QOS can be assigned an integer priority
- The larger the number, the greater the job priority will be for jobs requesting that QOS
- The priority is then **normalized** to the highest priority of all the QOSs to become the QOS factor



TRES Factors

- Each TRES Type has its own priority factor which represents the amount of TRES Type requested/allocated in a given partition
- For globals, like Licenses, the factor represents the number of TRES Type requested/allocated in the whole system
- The more TRES Type is requested/allocated on a job, the greater the job priority will be for that job Copyright 2019 SchedMD LLC

www.schedmd.com SLUG Sep 17-18, 2019





Nice Factor

- Users can adjust the priority of their own jobs by setting the nice value on their jobs
- Like the system nice, positive values negatively impact a job's priority and positive values increase a job's priority
- Only privileged users can specify a negative value
- The adjustment range is +/-2147483645.

Association Factor

- Each association can be assigned an integer priority
- The larger the number, the greater the job priority will be for jobs that request this association
- This priority value is normalized to the highest priority of all the association to become the association factor



- The site factor is a factor that can be set either using scontrol, through a job_submit or site_factor plugin
- An example use case, might be a job_submit plugin that sets a specific priority based on how many resources are requested

PriorityType=priority/multifactor
PriorityWeightQOS=1000

\$>sprio	-w				
	JOBID	PARTITION	PRIORITY	SITE	QOS
	Weights			1	1000

\$>sacctmgr	-i add qos high set priority=1000
<pre>\$>sacctmgr</pre>	-i add qos medium set priority=500
<pre>\$>sacctmgr</pre>	-i add qos low set priority=100
<pre>\$>sacctmgr</pre>	<pre>-i modify account bedrock set qos=low</pre>
<pre>\$>sacctmgr</pre>	<pre>-i modify account bedrock set defaultqos=low</pre>

\$>sacctmgr	show qos f	ormat=name, priority
Name	Priority	,
normal	e	
high	1000	
medium	500	
low	100	

\$>sacctmgr -i modify user fred,barney set qos=high,medium,low \$>sacctmgr -i modify user wilma,betty set qos=medium,low

\$>sacctmgr list assoc user=fred,barney,wilma,betty,bambam,pebbles
format=Cluster,Account,User,QOS,defaultqos

Cluster	Account	User	QOS	Def QOS
 cluster cluster cluster cluster cluster cluster	bedrock bedrock bedrock bedrock bedrock bedrock	bambam barney betty fred pebbles	low high,low,medium low,medium high,low,medium low	low low low low low low
cluster	bedrock	wilma	low,medium	low

<pre>\$>sprio</pre>	-o "%.15i %9r %.8u	%.10Y"		
	JOBID PARTITION	USER	PRIORITY	
	2 debug	fred	1000000	
	3 debug	barney	1000000	
	4 debug	wilma	500000	
	5 debug	betty	500000	
	6 debug	bambam	100000	
	7 debug	pebbles	100000	

• The Math:

```
Job priority =
    ... (PriorityWeightQOS) * (QOS factor) + ...)
                             1000/1000 = 1.0 (for fred)
       1000
                                       Or, 100% of PriorityWeightQOS
      From slurm.conf
                             priority/highest QOS Prio
       1000
                             500/1000 = .50 (for wilma)
                                       Or, 50% of PriorityWeightQOS
                             priority/highest QOS Prio
      From slurm.com
       1000
                             100/1000 = .10 (for bambam)
                                       Or, .10% of PriorityWeightQOS
      From slurm.conf
                              priority/highest QOS Prio
```
Priority Change in 19.05

- PriorityFlags = NO_NORMAL_ALL
 - NO_NORMAL_ALL If set, all NO_NORMAL_* flags are set.
 - NO_NORMAL_ASSOC If set, the association factor is **not** normalized against the highest association priority.
 - NO_NORMAL_PART If set, the partition factor is **not** normalized against the highest partition PriorityTier.
 - NO_NORMAL_QOS If set, the QOS factor is **not** normalized against the highest qos priority.
 - NO_NORMAL_TRES If set, the QOS factor is **not** normalized against the job's partition TRES counts.

QOS Priority Calculation Example-Cont'd

• Non-Normalized Math (using PriorityFlags = NO_NORMAL_ALL):



- Job Prioritization
- Fairshare
- Fair Tree

Fairshare-Fair vs Equal





- In Slurm, Fairshare shares are normalized
- The fair-share hierarchy represents the portions of the computing resources that have been allocated to multiple projects
- These allocations are assigned to an account
- There can be multiple levels of allocations made as allocations of a given account are further divided to sub-accounts

Fairshare-Usage Factor

• In Slurm, Fairshare usage is normalized

 The processor*seconds allocated to every job are tracked in real-time. If one only considered usage over a fixed time period, then calculating a user's normalized usage would be a simple quotient

 $U_N = U_{user} / U_{total}$

```
Where:
U<sub>N</sub> is normalized usage, between zero and one
U<sub>user</sub> is the processor*seconds consumed by all of a user's jobs in a given account
for over a fixed time period
U<sub>total</sub> is the total number of processor*seconds utilized across the cluster during
that same time period
```

Fairshare-Decay Factor

- Most workload spans multiple time periods. Slurm's fairshare priority calculation places more importance on the most recent resource usage and less importance on usage from way back
- The metric used is based on a half-life formula that favors most recent usage statistics, based on a **decay** factor (D):

Fairshare-Simplified Formula

 The simplified formula for calculating the fair-share factor for usage that spans multiple time periods and subject to a half-life decay is:

Fairshare-Calculating the Values

- The fair-share factor ranges from zero to one
 - One represents the highest priority for a job
 - A fair-share factor of 0.5 indicates that the user's jobs have used/not used exactly the portion of the machine that they have been allocated
 - A fair-share factor of above 0.5 indicates that the user's jobs have consumed less than their allocated share while a fair-share factor below 0.5 indicates that the user's jobs have consumed more than their allocated share of the computing resources

Fairshare Factor Under Account Hierarchy

- The method described above presents a system whereby the priority of a user's job is calculated based on the portion of the machine allocated to the user and the historical usage of all the jobs run by that user under a specific account.
- Another layer of "fairness" is necessary however, one that factors in the usage of other users drawing from the same account. This allows a job's fair-share factor to be influenced by the computing resources delivered to jobs of other users drawing from the same account.

Fairshare Factor Under Account Hierarchy

 If there are two members of a given account, and if one of those users has run many jobs under that account, the job priority of a job submitted by the user who has **not** run any jobs will be negatively affected. This ensures that the combined usage charged to an account matches the portion of the machine that is allocated to that account

Fairshare Factor Under Account Hierarchy

In this example, when user 3 submits their first job using account C, they will want their job's priority to reflect all the resources delivered to account B. They do not care that user 1 has been using up a significant portion of the cycles allocated to account B and user 2 has yet to run a job out of account B. If user 2 submits a job using account B and user 3 submits a job using account C, user 3 expects their job to be scheduled before the job from user 2 Copyright 2019 SchedMD LLC

www.schedmd.com SLUG Sep 17-18, 2019



The Slurm Fairshare Formula

• The Slurm Fairshare formula has been designed to provide fair scheduling to users based on the allocation and usage of **every** account. Now, the usage term is **effective** usage:

The Slurm Fairshare Formula

- Because the formula for effective usage includes a term of the effective usage of the parent, the calculation for each account in the tree must start at the <u>second tier</u> of accounts and proceed downward: to the children accounts, then grandchildren, etc. The effective usage of the users will be the last to be calculated.
- Plugging in the effective usage into the fair-share formula above yields a fair-share factor that reflects the **aggregated usage** charged to each of the accounts in the fair-share hierarchy.

The machine's computing resources are allocated to accounts A and D with 40 and 60 shares respectively. Account A is further divided into two children accounts, B with 30 shares and C with 10 shares. Account D is further divided into two children accounts, E with 25 shares and F with 35 shares

www.schedmd.com



Note: the shares at any given tier in the Account hierarchy do not need to total up to 100 shares. This example shows them totaling up to 100 to make the arithmetic easier to follow in your head

www.schedmd.com



User 1 is granted permission to submit jobs against the B account. Users 2 and 3 are granted one share each in the C account. User 4 is the sole member of the E account and User 5 is the sole member of the F account.

Note: accounts A and D do not have any user members in this example, though users could have been assigned.

www.schedmd.com



The shares assigned to each account make it easy to determine normalized shares of the machine's complete resources. Account A has .4 normalized shares, B has .3 normalized shares, etc. Users who are sole members of an account have the same number of normalized shares as the account. (E.g., User 1 has .3 normalized shares). Users who share accounts have a portion of the normalized shares based on their shares. For example, if user 2 had been allocated 4 shares instead of 1, user 2 would have had .08 normalized shares. With users 2 and 3 each holding 1 share, they each have a normalized share of 0.05 Copyright 2019 SchedMD LLC

www.schedmd.com

SLUG Sep 17-18, 2019

40 % 60 % Machine Allocation ccount D 60 shares 25-Account A 0 shares 3875 .30 . 25 Account F Account B Account E -20 35 shares 30 shares 25 shares .1458 Actual Usage: .25 Actual Usage: .2 Actual Usage: .25 Actual Usage: 0 Effective Usage: .275 Effective Usage: .1458 Effective Usage: .3875 Effective Usage: 25 Actual Usage: 0 Effective Usage: .15 represents actual usage

represents effective usage

Users 1, 2, and 4 have run jobs that have consumed the machine's computing resources. User 1's actual usage is 0.2 of the machine; user 2 is 0.25, and user 4 is 0.25

The actual usage charged to each account is represented by the solid arrows. The actual usage charged to each account is summed as one goes up the tree. Account C's usage is the sum of the usage of Users 2 and 3; account A's actual usage is the sum of its children, accounts B and С

www.schedmd.com



User 1 normalized share: 0.3

User 2 normalized share: 0.05

User 3 normalized share: 0.05

User 4 normalized share: 0.25

User 5 normalized share: 0.35

www.schedmd.com



The effective usage for **all accounts** at the first tier under the root allocation is always equal to the actual usage:

```
\begin{split} F &= 2^{**} (-U_{\rm E}/S) \quad (\text{Effective Usage Formula}) \\ U_{\rm E} &= U_{\rm Achild} + \\ &\quad ((U_{\rm Eparent} - U_{\rm Achild}) * S_{\rm child}/S_{\rm all\_siblings}) \\ \text{Account A's effective usage is therefore equal to .45. Account D's effective usage is equal to .25. \\ \text{Account B effective usage: } 0.2 + ((0.45 - 0.2) * 30 / 40) = 0.3875 \\ \text{Account C effective usage: } 0.25 + ((0.45 - 0.25) * 10 / 40) = 0.3 \\ \text{Account E effective usage: } 0.25 + ((0.25 - 0.25) * 25 / 60) = 0.25 \\ \text{Account F effective usage: } 0.0 + ((0.25 - 0.0) * 35 / 60) = 0.1458 \end{split}
```

The effective usage for **each user** is calculated using the same formula:

Using the Slurm fair-share formula,

 $F = 2 * * (-U_{E}/S)$ (Effective Usage Formula)

```
User 1 fair-share factor: 2**(-.3875 / .3) = 0.408479
User 2 fair-share factor: 2**(-.275 / .05) = 0.022097
User 3 fair-share factor: 2**(-.15 / .05) = 0.125000
User 4 fair-share factor: 2**(-.25 / .25) = 0.500000
User 5 fair-share factor: 2**(-.1458 / .35) = 0.749154
```

From this example, once can see that users 1,2, and 3 are over-serviced while user 5 is under-serviced. Even though user 3 has yet to submit a job, his/her fair-share factor is negatively influenced by the jobs users 1 and 2 have run.

Based on the fair-share factor alone, if all 5 users were to submit a job charging their respective accounts, user 5's job would be granted the highest scheduling priority.

Copyright 2019 SchedMD LLC

www.schedmd.com SLUG Sep 17-18, 2019

- PriorityType
 - priority/basic or priority/multifactor
- PriorityDecayHalfLife
 - min, hr:min:00, days-hr:min:00, or days-hr (Default=7 days)
- PriorityCalcPeriod
 - The period of time in minutes in which the half-life decay will be re-calculated. Default=5 minutes

- PriorityUsageResetPeriod
 - At this interval the usage of associations will be reset to 0
 - NONE, NOW, DAILY, WEEKLY, MONTHLY, QUARTERLY, YEARLY
- PriorityFavorSmall
 - Specifies that small jobs should be given preferential scheduling priority. Values=yes/no
- PriorityMaxAge
 - The job age which will be given the maximum age factor in computing priority. Default=7 Days

- PriorityWeightAge
 - the degree to which the queue wait time component contributes to the job's priority
- PriorityWeightFairshare
 - the degree to which the fair-share component contributes to the job's priority
- PriorityWeightJobSize
 - the degree to which the job size component contributes to the job's priority

- PriorityWeightPartition
 - Partition factor used by priority/multifactor plugin in calculating job priority
- PriorityWeightQOS
 - the degree to which the Quality Of Service component contributes to the job's priority
- PriorityWeightTRES
 - A comma separated list of TRES Types and weights that sets the degree that each TRES Type contributes to the job's priority: PriorityWeightTRES=CPU=1000, Mem=2000, GRES/gpu=3000

Slurm Fairshare Configuration Example 1

 This example is for running the plugin applying decay over time to reduce usage. Hard limits can be used in this configuration, but will have less effect since usage will decay over time instead of having no decay over time

```
# Activate the Multi-factor Job Priority Plugin with decay
PriorityType=priority/multifactor
```

```
# 2 week half-life
PriorityDecayHalfLife=14-0
```

Slurm Fairshare Configuration Example 1 -Cont'd

```
# The larger the job, the greater its job size priority.
PriorityFavorSmall=N0
# The job's age factor reaches 1.0 after waiting in the
# queue for 2 weeks.
PriorityMaxAge=14-0
```

This next group determines the weighting of each of the # components of the Multi-factor Job Priority Plugin. # The default value for each of the following is 1. PriorityWeightAge=1000 PriorityWeightFairshare=10000 PriorityWeightJobSize=1000 PriorityWeightPartition=1000 PriorityWeightQOS=0 # don't use the qos factor

Slurm Fairshare Configuration Example 2

• This example is for running the plugin with no decay on usage, thus making a reset of usage necessary

```
# Activate the Multi-factor Job Priority Plugin with decay
PriorityType=priority/multifactor
```

```
# apply no decay
PriorityDecayHalfLife=0
```

```
# reset usage after 1 month
PriorityUsageResetPeriod=MONTHLY
```

Slurm Fairshare Configuration Example 2-Cont'd

The larger the job, the greater its job size priority.
PriorityFavorSmall=N0

```
# The job's age factor reaches 1.0 after waiting in the
# queue for 2 weeks.
PriorityMaxAge=14-0
```

Slurm Fairshare Configuration Example 2-Cont'd

- # This next group determines the weighting of each of the
- # components of the Multi-factor Job Priority Plugin.
- # The default value for each of the following is 1.
- PriorityWeightAge=1000
- PriorityWeightFairshare=10000
- PriorityWeightJobSize=1000
- PriorityWeightPartition=1000
- PriorityWeightQOS=0 # don't use the qos factor



- Job Prioritization
- Fairshare
- Fair Tree

Fair Tree

- Developed by Ryan Cox and Levi Morrison at BYU (Thanks!)
- Submitted to Slurm branch 14.03
- Algorithm includes a rooted plane tree (rooted ordered tree) being created then sorted by Level Fairshare
- Now the default fairshare algorithm in Slurm 19.05 release
- To revert to old-style fairshare: *PriorityFlags=NO_FAIR_TREE*



Fair Tree - GO BYU!





Thanks for hosting SLUG!

Fair Tree

- Benefits of Fair Tree:
 - All users from a higher priority account receive a higher fair share factor than all users from a lower priority account
 - Users are sorted and ranked to prevent errors due to precision loss. Ties are allowed
 - Account coordinators cannot accidentally harm the priority of their users relative to users in other account
 - Users are extremely unlikely to have exactly the same fairshare factor as another user due to loss of precision in calculations
 - New jobs are immediately assigned a priority
Fair Tree

- To see the effect of Fair Tree:
 - sshare -I (Long) parameter now shows Level FS, showing fairshare calculated values for each association at each level

Account	User	Raw Shares	Norm Shares	Raw Usage	Norm Usage	Effectv Usage	FairShare	Level FS
root			0.000000	1230		1.000000		1.000000
bedrock		500	0.500000	676	0.549593	0.549593		0.909763
bedrock	fred	25	0.250000	301	0.244715	0.445266	0.200000	0.561462
bedrock	barney	25	0.250000	102	0.082927	0.150888	0.600000	1.656863
bedrock	wilma	25	0.250000	37	0.030081	0.054734	0.800000	4.567568
bedrock	betty	25	0.250000	236	0.191870	0.349112	0.400000	0.716102
managers		500	0.500000	554	0.450407	0.450407		1.110108
slate	slate	1	1.000000	554	0.450407	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000



- You should now have working knowledge of Slurm Priority and Fairshare, and Fair Trees
- Questions?

Copyright 2019 SchedMD LLC www.schedmd.com SLUG Sep 17-18, 2019